

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/682,515	10/10/2003	Atsushi Terahara	Q77875	2950	
	590 11/03/2004		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			ASINOVSKY, OLGA		
SUITE 800	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		1711		
•		•	DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	Office Action Summary	10/682,515	TERAHARA ET AL.	7			
	omce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAN INC DATE And	Olga Asinovsky	1711				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
- Extrafte - If th - If N - Fail Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication	1.			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 C	October 2003.					
	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
1	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213				
Disposit	ion of Claims		,				
,	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	f					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.		•				
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		·				
			N.				
	() sire easyest to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1 85(a)				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1 121(d)				
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152	•			
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		The second of th				
12)⊠ <i>/</i> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
•	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	boyo boom received					
,	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	P				
	— The priority documents	ty documents beyer to	Dication No				
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 	(PCT Dula 17 2(a))	ceived in this National Stage				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o	of the certified series not					
	STATE OF THE STATE	and certified copies not re	ceivea,				
A44 - 1							
Attachment(_					
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔀 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SR/08)	5) Notice of Infor	fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date <u>)5/04/2004</u> .	6) Other:	Contribution (F10-132)				
S. Patent and Tra							

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on October 10, 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.121 (Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment). Status identifiers have not been properly submitted for claims 3 and 4. "(amended)" with the 1st amendment in the same claim should be replaced for (currently amended).

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,761,989. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the chemical formulation of a block copolymer in claims 1-8 and 11 of Patent 6,761,989 is directly overlapping the chemical formulation of a block copolymer in the present claims. The general structure of a block segment

Application/Control Number: 10/682,515

Art Unit: 1711

having an acid group represented by the formula (1) in the present claim 1 is readable in claim 3 of Patent'989.

- 3. The difference between the present claim 4 and claims of Patent'989 is the requirement in the present claim 4 that the segment being free from an acid group represented by the formula (5) has an aromatic group. Claims 1-8 and 11 of Patent' 989 does not claim a block having aromatic group for a non-ion-exchange segment. However, in light of the polymer electrolyte in claims 1-8 and 11 of Patent'989 having hydrophilic and hydrophobic balance for producing a polymer electrolyte, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to consider that a hydrophobic block having substantially no sulfonic acid group can include an aromatic group since any block being free of ion-exchange works within the same expectation for obtaining the adequate results in claims 1-8 and 11of Patent 6,761,989.
- 1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art US2001/0041279 is now matured into Patent 6,761,989. This reference has been discussed above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Asinovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-1066. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:30 pm.

Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Olga Asinovsky Examiner Art Unit 1711

O.A. October 28, 2004

James J. Seidlect:
Supervisory Patent Examinar
Technology Center 1700